WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - OA 143 of 2018.

Hemanta Maity & 02 Ors – Vs- The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Date of order.	2 For the Applicants : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Mr. S. C. Dhara, Ld. Advs. For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Ld. Adv. The applicants have prayed for direction upon the respondents to give appointment to the applicant no. 1 on compassionate ground. It appears from the materials on record that one Kajal Kanti Maity died on March 04, 2006 while he was working as Operator under Deep tube-well scheme in the district of Hooghly. The wife of the applicant submitted one application before the Block Development Officer, Khanakul-I Development Block on November 22, 2006 praying for compassionate appointment of herself. However, on February 25, 2012 the applicant no. 1 submitted formal application for compassionate appointment along with all necessary documents. Ultimately prayer of the applicant no. 1 for	and dated signature of parties when necessary
	compassionate appointment was rejected and the said rejection order was communicated under letter dated July 05, 2017 (annexure G to the Original Application).	

HEMANTA MAITY & 02 ORS.

Form No.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order.Order of the Tribunal with signature 2Office action with c and dated signatu of parties when nece 31Mrs. Agarwal Ld. Counsel representing the applicant has made two fold submissions : first, the reasons for rejection of prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment have not been disclosed in the letter dated July 05, 2017 and secondly , the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered on the basis of Notification No. 97-Emp dated June 06,	te
applicant has made two fold submissions : first, the reasons for rejection of prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment have not been disclosed in the letter dated July 05, 2017 and secondly , the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
reasons for rejection of prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment have not been disclosed in the letter dated July 05, 2017 and secondly , the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
compassionate appointment have not been disclosed in the letter dated July 05, 2017 and secondly , the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
the letter dated July 05, 2017 and secondly , the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
the applicant no. 1 for compassionate appointment has been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
been considered in accordance with Labour Department Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
Notification No. 251-Emp dated December 03, 2013 and Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
Notification No. 26-Emp dated March 01, 2016, whereas the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
the prayer of the applicant should have been considered	
on the basis of Notification No. 97-Emp dated June 06,	
2005 issued by the Labour Department, Government of	
West Bengal, which was in force at the time of death of	
the father of the applicant no. 1 . On the other hand, Mr.	
Ghosh, Ld. Counsel representing the State Respondents	
has also made two fold submissions : first, the application	
submitted by the applicant no. 1 after six years of death	
of his father is barred by limitation as per G.O. providing	
scheme for compassionate appointment and secondly,	
the case of the applicant has been rightly considered in	
accordance with Labour Department Notifications issued	
in the year 2013 and in the year 2016, as the case of the	
applicant was undisposed on the date of issuance of	

HEMANTA MAITY & 02 ORS.

Form No.

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 143</u>		est beligal & Ors.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	notification by the Labour Department , Government of	
	West Bengal in the year 2013.	
	Having heard Ld. Counsel representing both	
	parties and on consideration of the materials on record,	
	we find that the wife of the deceased employee	
	submitted application before Block Development Officer,	
	Khanakul-I Development Block on November 22, 2006 for	
	compassionate appointment and the father of the	
	applicant no. 1 died on March 04, 2006 and as such we	
	are of the view that the prayer of the applicant no. 1	
	should have been considered in accordance with	
	Notification No. 97-Emp dated June 06, 2005 which was	
	in force at the relevant point of time. We fully agree with	
	the submission made on behalf of the applicant that the	
	reasons for rejection of prayer of the applicant no. 1 have	
	not been disclosed in the letter dated July 05, 2017. With	
	regard to delay in filing of the application for	
	compassionate appointment, we are not inclined to make	
	any observation at this stage. Since the prayer of the	
	applicant no. 1 was rejected without disclosing the	
	reasons and since the prayer of the applicant no. 1 has	
	not been considered in accordance with the Notification	
	of the Labour Department, which was in force at the	

HEMANTA MAITY & 02 ORS.

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 143</u>		ust bengar & Ors.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	relevant point of time, we would like to set aside the	
	letter dated July 05, 2017 (annexure G to the Original	
	Application).	
	In view of our above findings, the letter dated	
	July 05, 2017 issued by the Assistant Secretary to the	
	Government of West Bengal , Department of Water	
	Resources Investigation and Development, Government	
	of West Bengal is quashed. The Respondent No. 1,	
	Secretary, Department of Water Resources Investigation	
	and Development, Government of West Bengal is	
	directed to consider the prayer of the applicant no. 1 for	
	compassionate appointment in accordance with the	
	provisions of Notification No. 97-Emp dated June 06,	
	2005 issued by the Labour Department, Government of	
	West Bengal and on the basis of the materials available	
	on record and to pass a reasoned order within a period of	
	twelve weeks from the date of communication of the	
	order. The said respondent No. 1 will communicate the	
	copy of the reasoned order to the applicant no. 1 within a	
	period of two weeks from the date of passing of the	
	reasoned order.	
	With the above observation the Original	
	Application is disposed of.	

HEMANTA MAITY & 02 ORS.

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 143 of 2018. Order of the Tribunal with signature Serial No. and Office action with date and dated signature Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 3 Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both parties. (S.K.Das) (R.K.Bag) Member (A) Member (J). Skg.

Form No.

HEMANTA MAITY & 02 ORS.

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 143 of 2018.

Case No. <u>OA 143 of 20</u>	<u>018.</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3

Form No.